

Group Memory
CTPAC
May 2, 2007
San Bernardino

Next Meeting dates*

Annual Meeting: August 14th, 2007 Sacramento Trans Lab Auditorium -

Bin List & Great Ideas

Group Decisions

All decisions made will be double underlined in the body of the notes below.

1. (Date)

Document Register

1

Upshot

These are the assignments made at the meeting. As new ones are added they will be appended to the list. As assignments are completed they will be lined out with a ~~strike-through~~, but left on the list until the next meeting. This will provide a running record of assignments made at these meetings.

From April 2006 meeting

99	James Bob H	Work with Anthony. Annuals – the Proposal and List should be responded to by last year (see discussion notes outline # 4)	6/6/06 8/28/06 3/30/07 5/19/07
102	James	Caltrans will be convening a meeting to discuss the what-ifs, with industry, related to TPMS roll-out; probably in mid-September. Develop the game plan on how to roll it out. (see discussion notes outline # 12)	09/01/06 August 1 2007
103	Aaron James	Follow up on Aaron's item: Revised Manual posted on the web site with the agreed- to TPPM's. (see discussion notes outline # 9.3)	July 26, 06 8/28/06 2/15/07

			08/14/07

From July 2006 meeting

104	Eric S	Convene meeting with CT to discuss the closure information quality issue. (see discussion notes outline # 8)	8/28/06 2/15/07
108	Eric and Mike V	identify industry concerns about not having parallel system during implementation (go live with parallel systems after implementation) and send the information to Caltrans, so Caltrans will be able to respond at the August September meeting (see upshot # 102) and (see discussion notes outline # 14.7)	Aug 15, 2006 2/15/07 8/14/07

From January 2007 meeting

111	Kien L	Check further into the lane closure system information quality issue. We need a phone number to call if there is any erroneous information. (see discussion notes outline # 2)	Next meeting.
112	Anthony	Bring Nevada's and Arizona's concerns to the Dual Lane Loading work group. (see discussion notes outline # 5)	Before next meeting.
113	Vaughn	get information back to Caltrans on Tridem issues (see discussion notes outline # 6) (from April 2007) Submit a new proposal on equal distribution on tridems.	3/30/07 08/14/07
114	James	Make someone from CT available for the fixed loads work group.	2/15/07
115	Greg	Take up the issue of Caltrans deleting requirement for "Dynamic testing of uncommon suspension systems" with the fixed load work group.	3/30/07
116	Work Group leaders	Send your work group memberships to Kien Le for updating the rosters.	2/15/07
117	Anthony	Take the Trunion / Tridem issue to WASHTO in San Diego. Send information to Eric for distribution.	4/xx/07 08/14/07

From May 2007 meeting

118	Eric	Schedule a conference call in mid-June to status the	5/19/07
-----	------	--	---------

		action items # 113 and 117. Announce the meeting to the group via e mail. Coordinate with Anthony and James	
119	James	State Route 22 And Lewis Road: Lift restriction.	5/2/07
120	James	Discuss permit condition #17 wording issue with industry. See if further action is needed. Industry was not involved in the crafting of this language. (see discussion notes outline # 9)	6/1/07
121	James	James will track Proposal from work group 12: 12-012605-001down and report back to the group. (see discussion notes outline # 9)	08/14/07
122	Eric S	Re-send Chapter 10 to Bob S and Greg D. (see discussion notes outline # 10)	5/9/07
123	All for Bob S	Send your comments on Chapter 1, 2, And 3 to Bob S.	5/14/07
124	Eric	Set up conference call with Bob S on Chapter 1,2 and 3 revision. Set up conference call to discuss the manual revisions.	5/15/07

Critique from May 2, 2007 meeting:

What went well	What Needs Improvement
<p>Work group sessions – CHP participation.</p> <p>Facilitation</p> <p>Lunch</p> <p>Wayne here on TPMS</p>	<p>Lunch</p> <p>More HQ staff.</p> <p>Southern Region representative not here.</p>

Critique from January 29, 2007. meeting:

What went well	What Needs Improvement
<p>Lunch</p> <p>Meeting room.</p>	<p>Attendance</p> <p>Went overtime.</p> <p>Too much discussion that should happen in the work groups.</p>

Purpose:

The purpose of the Caltrans Transporter Permit Advisory Council (CTPAC) is to provide a forum for government and industry viewpoints on State transportation permitting policies and procedures. Both Caltrans and industry believe that this is an effective way of understanding and improving the permit process. CTPAC representatives use council and steering committee meetings to update their members on changes and help resolve permit related issues.

1. Ground rules:

1. 1. Begin and end on time
1. 2. No side conversation
1. 3. Pagers and cell phones to stun.
1. 4. Raise your hand when you want to talk;
1. 5. Speak up; silence is consent.

2. TPMS Status:

2. 1. Last testing done on 3/28. Only a few items on annual permits outstanding. Still working on single trip permit issues, reports, and one other item.
2. 2. Bently has worked on deficiencies for release 4. Application was demonstrated this Monday and seems to be working much better.
2. 3. We have a product acceptance / change control board that will meet sometime this month. There are about forty items that need to be changed. The board will consent on items that they say are OK to change.
2. 4. We hope to turn this back over to the contractor to work out, and have pre-implementation testing done for six weeks. .
2. 5. September – November will be pilot. There will then be a pilot and training on the new application. The pilot will run for three or four weeks, while training occurs.
2. 6. Trainers will stay on board for six months or so, then will become the help desk staff. Initial training questions from students will provide information on what the help menus should say. About 265-280 people will be trained; first come, first served.
2. 7. Live implementation is expected in Feb-March of 2008.
2. 8. Vehicle Inspection – we already have entered 14,000 records into the system. IT will be an integral part of the system. Inspectors will be able to enter data from the field.
2. 9. Customer data base – trying to update this data base.
2. 10. The new system will not have automated routing. The routing engine will be integrated into the system later, if Caltrans agrees to pay for it.

3. Closure information quality issue – Kien Le

3. 1. Caltrans receives notifications from its field people on road conditions. There is a fifteen day lead time requirement for information to be submitted.
3. 2. Caltrans tries to provide detour information, and find best route alternatives. This is not always possible.

3. 3. Major arterial closures are publicized. Partial closures are not publicized, because access is still available. If a ramp is closed, that would be publicized.
4. **Dual Lane Loading implementation – Vaughn Goodfellow.**
 4. 1. This is pretty well completed; can be removed.
5. Crane Group
 5. 1. Weight transfer methodology is being responded by Structures Maintenance. Anthony will get his responses to our comments to us by May 15th.
6. Annuals / Matt Klenske
 6. 1. Caltrans has agreed to concept of 7,8 and 9 axle annuals. Caltrans still has issues on monitoring program.
 6. 2. Dynamic Testing for mixed suspension was approved by the work group and sent forward to Caltrans as of today.
 6. 3. Min-Max: there is a difference in application of minimum. Permits in the South Region will have minimum eliminated. There is a commitment that Caltrans – Kien Le -- will look into this and report back to James with a recommendation.
7. Tridem / Vaughn Goodfellow, Doug Murray
 7. 1. There will be a new proposal offered today.
 7. 2. There is no proof of acceptance by Anthony at Caltrans, per the work group.
 7. 3. Anthony will be checking with Nevada, Arizona, and Oregon to ensure that we are consistent with them.
8. Variance -- CHP Escort / Vaughn Goodfellow
 8. 1. Proposal to increase width for escort was discussed today.
9. Fixed Loads
 9. 1. Changes as currently proposed by Caltrans to permit conditions are not acceptable to industry. Industry would like to be able to accept liability for exceeding weight limits recommended by the equipment manufacturers. Language changes are desired to be included in the discussion.
 9. 2. Proposal from 2005 has not been formally responded to. Proposal from work group 12 : 12-012605-001.
 9. 3. Outcome:
 9. 3. 1. James will discuss permit condition language item #17 with industry. (see upshot # 120)
 9. 3. 2. James will track Proposal from work group 12 : 12-012605-001 down and report back to the group. (see upshot # 121)

10. Status of Development of Transportation Permits Manual – Chapter 3 / Bob Shepard
 10. 1. Chapters 1, 2 and 3 done – They will work with Aaron to make sure that industry concerns are reflected.
 10. 2. Chapters 4, et. seq., not looked at yet.
 10. 3. Chapter 7 latest version is on the web site; it has not been reviewed by industry.
 10. 4. Chapter 10 has been updated – the review draft is out for comments – no comments have been received yet by Aaron.
 10. 5. Group would like to see Chapter 3 get down to two or three pages.
 10. 6. Outcomes:
 10. 6. 1. The group needs to send comments to Bob S. (see upshot # 123)
 10. 6. 2. Eric S will send the updates to Chapter 10 to Bob and Greg. (see upshot # 122)
 10. 6. 3. Eric will set up a conference call to discuss the manual. (see upshot # 124)
11. New Proposals
 11. 1. Question raised from January meeting was, “Why 25 foot spread between Tridem groups?” Response from CT was “That is what you asked for. If you need something different send proposal Greg D has transferred this to the Tridem group, effective today. (proposal WG4 # 040407-001)
 11. 2. Caltrans practice - load has to be for 50% of the trailer length, for other than California legal trailers. Can this be made a formal policy? (Outcome: No action at this time.)

14	Review action items, next meeting date, time and place.	
----	---	--

15	3:00	Adjourn / Sauer and Anderson	
----	------	------------------------------	--